

Some geometric aspects of CP^{N-1} maps

W.J. Zakrzewski^a

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Durham, Durham DH1 3LE, UK

Received 1st August 2001 / Received in final form 18 October 2001
 Published online 2 October 2002 – © EDP Sciences, Società Italiana di Fisica, Springer-Verlag 2002

Abstract. In this talk we introduce a Weierstrass-like system of equations corresponding to CP^{N-1} fields in two dimensions. Then using this representation we introduce a vector \mathbf{r} in R^{N^2-1} and treating this vector as the radius vector of a surface immersed in R^{N^2-1} we discuss to what extent the associated metric describes the geometry of the CP^{N-1} maps. We show that for the holomorphic maps – the correspondence is exact; while for the more general fields we have to go beyond the Weierstrass system and add extra terms.

PACS. 02.40.-K Geometry, differential geometry, and topology – 02.30.Jr Partial differential equations – 11.10.Lm Nonlinear or nonlocal theories and models

1 Introduction

In this talk I want to discuss two interesting ideas, and then relate them to each other. Moreover, I will then show how these ideas can be further generalised.

The work described in this talk has been done in collaboration with M. Grundland. The details of the work will be given elsewhere [1].

1.1 Harmonic maps [2]

The first topic involves CP^1 harmonic maps. These are maps

$$S^2 \rightarrow CP^1 \sim S^2 \quad (1)$$

given by the stationary points of the energy

$$\mathcal{L} = \int L \, dx \, dy, \quad (2)$$

where

$$L = \frac{1}{4} (D_\mu z)^\dagger \cdot D_\mu z, \quad (3)$$

and where, in the general case of CP^{N-1} , z is a vector field of N components, $z = (z^1, \dots, z^N)$, which satisfies

$$z^\dagger \cdot z = 1. \quad (4)$$

The differential operator D_μ acts on $\psi: S^2 \rightarrow CP^{N-1}$ according to the formula:

$$D_\mu \psi = \partial_\mu \psi - \psi (z^\dagger \cdot \partial_\mu z). \quad (5)$$

Here $\mu = 1, 2$, of course, and denotes the space coordinates x and y . In the CP^1 case we can introduce a complex field W

$$z = \frac{(1, W)}{\sqrt{1 + |W|^2}}. \quad (6)$$

Then, the Euler Lagrange equations describing harmonic maps are given by

$$\partial \bar{\partial} W - 2\bar{W} \frac{\partial W \bar{\partial} W}{|W|^2 + 1} = 0 \quad (7)$$

where $W = W(\zeta, \bar{\zeta})$ and

$$\partial = \frac{\partial}{\partial(x + iy)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}, \quad \bar{\partial} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}}. \quad (8)$$

1.2 Weierstrass system [3]

Here we consider 2 complex functions $\psi = \psi(\zeta, \bar{\zeta})$ and $\phi = \phi(\zeta, \bar{\zeta})$, which satisfy

$$\partial \psi = p \phi, \quad \bar{\partial} \phi = -p \psi, \quad p = |\phi|^2 + |\psi|^2. \quad (9)$$

Note that we have not specified $\bar{\partial} \psi$, nor $\partial \phi$.

A natural question then arises. Are these two problems related? Obviously the answer is YES. To see this put

$$W = \frac{\psi}{\phi} \quad (10)$$

^a Work done in collaboration with M. Grundland
 e-mail: w.j.zakrzewski@durham.ac.uk

and

$$\psi = W \frac{(\bar{\partial}W)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 + |W|^2}, \quad \phi = \frac{(\partial W)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 + |W|^2}, \quad (11)$$

and so find that (7) and (9) are equivalent.

Moreover, we can introduce 3 real quantities

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= i \int_{\gamma} [\bar{\psi}^2 + \phi^2] d\zeta - [\psi^2 + \bar{\phi}^2] d\bar{\zeta}, \\ X_2 &= \int_{\gamma} [\bar{\psi}^2 - \phi^2] d\zeta + [\psi^2 - \bar{\phi}^2] d\bar{\zeta}, \\ X_3 &= -2 \int_{\gamma} \bar{\psi} \phi d\zeta + \psi \bar{\phi} d\bar{\zeta}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where γ is any curve from a fixed point to ζ .

Then, it is easy to show that if ψ and ϕ satisfy (9) then X_i do not depend on the details of the curve γ but only on its endpoints.

Furthermore, if we treat X_i as components of a vector $\mathbf{r} = (X_1, X_2, X_3)$ and introduce the metric

$$g_{\zeta\zeta} = (\partial\mathbf{r}, \partial\mathbf{r}), \quad g_{\zeta\bar{\zeta}} = (\bar{\partial}\mathbf{r}, \bar{\partial}\mathbf{r}), \quad g_{\zeta\bar{\zeta}} = (\partial\mathbf{r}, \bar{\partial}\mathbf{r}) \quad (13)$$

we find that, for fields which solve (7) on S^2 , only $g_{\zeta\bar{\zeta}}$ is non-zero and is given by

$$g_{\zeta\bar{\zeta}} = \frac{|\partial W|^2}{(1 + |W|^2)^2} = |Dz|^2, \quad (14)$$

where D denotes the D_{μ} derivative (5) but evaluated with respect to ζ . Note that (14) is a term in the general expression for the energy of the CP^1 map. However, as all harmonic maps on S^2 satisfy $W = W(\zeta)$ [2], $g_{\zeta\bar{\zeta}}$ is the energy¹.

Can we generalise this to CP^{N-1} ?

2 CP^{N-1} case

2.1 General considerations [2]

In the CP^{N-1} case we can put

$$z_i = \frac{f_i}{|f|} \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad (15)$$

and introduce $W_k = \frac{f_k}{f_1}$. The energy is still given by (3) and the Euler Lagrange equations become

$$\left(1 - \frac{f f^{\dagger}}{|f|^2}\right) \left[\partial \bar{\partial} f - \partial f \frac{(f^{\dagger} \cdot \bar{\partial} f)}{|f|^2} - \bar{\partial} f \frac{(f^{\dagger} \cdot \partial f)}{|f|^2} \right] = 0. \quad (16)$$

¹ We are assuming here that we are not dealing with anti-holomorphic maps, as then $g_{\zeta\bar{\zeta}} = 0$; in this case we exchange the roles of ζ and $\bar{\zeta}$.

Holomorphic solutions imply $\bar{\partial} f = 0$ but, for $N > 2$ there exist nonholomorphic solutions of (16).

To construct the generalised Weierstrass system we note that (16) can be rewritten as

$$[\partial \bar{\partial} P, P] = 0 \quad \text{where} \quad P = \frac{1}{A} f f^{\dagger}, \quad (17)$$

where $A = f^{\dagger} f$. Thus we have

$$\partial K + \bar{\partial} M = 0, \quad \text{with} \quad K = [\bar{\partial} P, P], \quad M = [\partial P, P] \quad (18)$$

The explicit form of matrices K and M is given by²

$$\begin{aligned} K_{ij} &= \frac{1}{A^2} [\bar{f}_k f_k \bar{\partial} f_i \bar{f}_j - \bar{f}_k f_k f_i \bar{\partial} \bar{f}_j \\ &\quad + f_i \bar{f}_j \bar{\partial} \bar{f}_k f_k - f_i \bar{f}_j \bar{f}_k \bar{\partial} f_k], \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_{ij} &= \frac{1}{A^2} [\bar{f}_k f_k \partial f_i \bar{f}_j - \bar{f}_k f_k f_i \partial \bar{f}_j \\ &\quad + f_i \bar{f}_j \partial \bar{f}_k f_k - f_i \bar{f}_j \bar{f}_k \partial f_k], \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

which we next rewrite as

$$K_{ij} = \bar{f}_j \bar{\Phi}_i^2 - f_i \bar{\varphi}_j^2, \quad M_{ij} = \bar{f}_j \varphi_i^2 - f_i \Phi_j^2, \quad (21)$$

where we have defined

$$\varphi_i^2 = \frac{1}{A^2} \bar{f}_k F_{ki}, \quad \Phi_i^2 = \frac{1}{A^2} f_k \bar{F}_{ki}, \quad (22)$$

and

$$F_{ij} = f_i \partial f_j - f_j \partial f_i, \quad \bar{F}_{ij} = f_i \bar{\partial} f_j - f_j \bar{\partial} f_i. \quad (23)$$

Note that we have two constraints

$$\bar{f}_k \varphi_k^2 = 0, \quad f_k \Phi_k^2 = 0, \quad (24)$$

which allows us to consider as independent only $\varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_N$. At the same time, as we have said before, we can set, say, $f_1 = 1$ and so we end up with

$$\varphi_i^2 = \frac{1}{A^2} [(1 + f_k \bar{f}_k) \partial f_i - f_i (\bar{f}_k \partial f_k)], \quad (25)$$

where all the sums over repeated indices run over $k = 2, \dots, N$.

Note that the CP^{N-1} system has more conserved quantities. In fact, we can drop the Φ terms in the expressions for K and M in (21) and we still have our conservation laws; namely, we can define

$$K'_{ij} = -f_i \bar{\varphi}_j^2, \quad M'_{ij} = \varphi_i^2 \bar{f}_j \quad (26)$$

and then note that we still have

$$\partial K' + \bar{\partial} M' = 0. \quad (27)$$

This is easy to check the validity of (27) by using (16).

² We assume summation convention.

2.2 Weierstrass system [1]

As our generalised Weierstrass variables we now take

$$\varphi_i \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_i = f_i \bar{\varphi}_i, \quad i = 2, \dots, N. \quad (28)$$

Then the generalised Weierstrass system is given by the equations

$$\bar{\partial} \varphi_i = -\varphi_i A (\psi \cdot \bar{\varphi}), \quad \partial \psi_i = \varphi_i A |\varphi_i|^2 \quad \text{no summation,} \quad (29)$$

where

$$A = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{|\psi_k|^2}{|\varphi_k|^2}. \quad (30)$$

It can be shown that these equations are equivalent to the CP^{N-1} equations (16) or (17).

Moreover, we can also construct $N^2 - 1$ real quantities X_i , which we can treat as components of a vector \mathbf{r} in $N^2 - 1$ dimensions.

To construct them we take various entries of our matrices K' and M' .

Explicitly, the diagonal terms give us ($l = 1, \dots, N$ no summation)

$$X_{ll} = \int_{\gamma} \bar{f}_l \varphi_l^2 d\zeta + \int_{\gamma} f_l \bar{\varphi}_l^2 d\bar{\zeta} = \int_{\gamma} \bar{\psi}_l \varphi_l d\zeta + \int_{\gamma} \psi_l \bar{\varphi}_l d\bar{\zeta} \quad (31)$$

which satisfy $\sum_l X_{ll} = 0$. The off-diagonal terms give us

$$X_{lk} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_{\gamma} \left(\bar{\psi}_l \frac{\varphi_k^2}{\bar{\varphi}_l} + \bar{\psi}_k \frac{\varphi_l^2}{\bar{\varphi}_k} \right) d\zeta + \int_{\gamma} \left(\psi_l \frac{\bar{\varphi}_k^2}{\varphi_l} + \psi_k \frac{\bar{\varphi}_l^2}{\varphi_k} \right) d\bar{\zeta} \right] \quad (32)$$

$$Y_{lk} = \frac{i}{2} \left[\int_{\gamma} \left(\bar{\psi}_l \frac{\varphi_k^2}{\bar{\varphi}_l} - \bar{\psi}_k \frac{\varphi_l^2}{\bar{\varphi}_k} \right) d\zeta + \int_{\gamma} \left(\psi_l \frac{\bar{\varphi}_k^2}{\varphi_l} - \psi_k \frac{\bar{\varphi}_l^2}{\varphi_k} \right) d\bar{\zeta} \right]. \quad (33)$$

In our expressions we take all $l, k = 1, \dots, N$ and for $k = 1$ and $l = 1$ we use our constraints to rewrite all our expressions in terms of independent φ_i and ψ_i , $i = 2, \dots, N$. As in the CP^1 case there is no dependence on the contour of integration except through its endpoints.

Moreover, when we calculate the metric – we find, like before, that the only nonvanishing entry is

$$g_{\zeta\bar{\zeta}} = |Dz|^2. \quad (34)$$

On the other hand, if we go beyond the generalised Weierstrass system and consider also Φ terms in K and M and define our X_i variables in terms of these matrices and not K' and M' we find that the metric now becomes

$$g_{\zeta\bar{\zeta}} = |Dz|^2 + |\bar{D}z|^2 \quad (35)$$

i.e. is the total energy.

3 Conclusions

We have generalised the observation that harmonic maps of S^2 to CP^1 and the Weierstrass system are related to the case of maps into CP^{N-1} and of the corresponding generalised Weierstrass system. There is a difference; in the CP^1 case all harmonic maps are holomorphic (or antiholomorphic); in the CP^{N-1} case, for $N > 2$, there are maps which are neither holomorphic nor antiholomorphic. Our generalised Weierstrass system misses out a part of the energy. To have full energy we need to go beyond the Weierstrass system and include also Φ variables.

It would be interesting to understand better how the existence of non-holomorphic harmonic maps translates into the properties of the Weierstrass system or its geometry. This problem is currently under investigation.

I would like to thank R. Dandoloff and V. Gerdjikov for their invitation to present this talk at GIN2001 in Bansko and all the organisers of this meeting for their hospitality and making the meeting very interesting and enjoyable. I would like to thank A.M. Grundland for collaborating with me on the topics discussed in this paper.

References

1. A.M. Grundland, W.J. Zakrzewski (in preparation)
2. W.J. Zakrzewski, *Low Dimensional Sigma Models* (Adam Hilger, Bristol 1989)
3. B. Konopelchenko, *Stud. Appl. Maths.* **96**, 9 (1996); or B. Konopelchenko, G. Landolfi, *Stud. Appl. Maths.* **104**, 129 (1999)